



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/660,077             | 09/10/2003  | Anthony J. Baerlocher | 0112300-1530        | 5899             |
| 29159                  | 7590        | 01/17/2008            |                     |                  |
| BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLP |             |                       | EXAMINER            |                  |
| P.O. Box 1135          |             |                       | THOMASSON, MEAGAN J |                  |
| CHICAGO, IL 60690      |             |                       |                     |                  |
|                        |             |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                        |             |                       | 3714                |                  |
|                        |             |                       |                     |                  |
|                        |             |                       | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                        |             |                       | 01/17/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@BELLBOYD.COM

|                          |                              |                     |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>       | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|                          | 10/660,077                   | BAERLOCHER ET AL.   |
|                          | Examiner<br>Meagan Thomasson | Art Unit<br>3714    |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Meagan Thomasson. (3) Patricia Chidiac.  
 (2) John Hotaling. (4) Holby Abern.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Piechowiak et al. (US 6,168,523 B1), Mayeroff (US 6,168,894).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

**JOHN M. HOTALING, II**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

- ✓ Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed limitations of independent claim 1, specifically "each time a change of siad meter occurs during the bonus game, said change is based on the second component of the wager in the base game" with respect to the prior art. Applicant expressed intent to file claim amendments to further clarify how a change in the meter is based upon a wager component in order to distinguish the claims from the cited prior art, which the examiner will consider upon receipt. No agreement with respect to the claims was reached.

JOHN M. HOTALING, II  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

